

Butler, David

From: Butler, David
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Bateman, Andrew; Rebecca J. Dulin; Smith, Heather Shirley (Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com); Rebecca J. Dulin; Smith, Heather Shirley (Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com); matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger; Jamey Goldin google; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; pfox@burr.com; jbowen@burr.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott (sellott@elliottlaw.us); eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams
Subject: Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

To the Parties:
The Commission is in receipt of a letter dated November 30, 2020, from Counsel from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC. The letter describes activities of the parties under the two-phase plan approved by the Commission in Order No. 2020-660. The letter describes a category of parties deemed the "Interested Parties." This group does not apparently include all parties in the Docket. A question has been raised as to whether the parties not included in the "Interested Parties" category will be joining that category or not. Obviously, I am not seeking specific information that may be confidential, but would be interested to know if there are any overall general opinions on the subject.
Thanks in advance for your consideration in this matter.
Regards,
David Butler
Special Counsel
Public Service Commission of South Carolina